

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/937,469

Applicant(s)

ECKEL ET AL.

Examiner

Peter Szekeky

Art Unit

1714

All Participants:(1) Peter Szekeky.(2) James Franks.**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 November 2003**Time:** 4:30p.m.**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 second paragraph

Claims discussed:

6, 11, 12, 15 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

*None***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*The improper Markush language will be corrected and the indefinite word "derivatives" will be deleted.***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)